



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,216	04/02/2004	Robert Gonsalves	A2004002	2414

26643 7590 08/14/2007
PETER J. GORDON, PATENT COUNSEL
AVID TECHNOLOGY, INC.
ONE PARK WEST
TEWKSBURY, MA 01876

EXAMINER

RAHMJOO, MANUCHER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2624

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/14/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/817,216

Applicant(s)

GONSALVES ET AL.

Examiner

Mike Rahmjoo

Art Unit

2624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 July 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1- 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamakawa (US Patent 6654028) in view of Frazier et al (US Patent 5081523).

As per claims 1 and 4 and as to the broadest reasonable interpretation by examiner, Yamakawa teaches a computer readable medium and program instructions corresponding to for example the use of DSP (digital signal processor) and ROM (read only memory) which inherently utilize computer codes and program instructions in column 27 line 64;

storing an input luminance value corresponding to a luminance of the pixel before color correction (the luminance from component input terminal 4 as input luminance prior to correction in the gamma correction circuit 5) see for example the abstract, fig. 1 and col. 27 lines 62-65 wherein ROM (read only memory) ,as a signal correction means, is used ;

performing a color correction operation on the pixel to provide color corrected components (e.g., R/G/B) for the pixel corresponding to for example the color correction of the three primary colors by the Gamma circuits in column 23 lines 49- 52;

determining an output luminance and output saturation corresponding to the color corrected components for the pixel corresponding to for example the increase in luminance and the lowering of the saturation causing the colors to become plain (e.g., the output) in column 14 lines 32- 47;

scaling the output saturation by the scaling factor to provide a corrected saturation corresponding to for example the lowering (corresponding to scaling) of color saturation relative to said luminance in column 14 lines 33- 47;

and using the input luminance (input luminance from component input terminal 4) and the corrected saturation (relative lowering as corresponding to correction of the color saturation by increasing V_c (control signal voltage)) to provide values for the corrected pixel (to solve the problem of colors becoming plain by the increase in V_c) corresponding to for example column 14 lines 33- 47.

However, Yamakawa does not explicitly teach determining a scaling factor according to a ratio of the input luminance to the output luminance.

Frazier teaches determining a scaling factor according to a ratio of the input luminance to the output luminance corresponding to for example the scale factor which is the ratio of nominal (test image input) intensity (e.g., luminance) to actual (display image output) intensity for the pixel in column 12 lines 29- 32;

It would have been made obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Frazier into Yamakawa to have the image correction subsystem compare the input test image with the output color display image on a pixel-by-pixel basis, and generate correction factors for

Art Unit: 2624

each pixel that are stored in corresponding image correction memory maps and therefore control image beam position and/or intensity to achieve efficiency of the display see column 3 lines 5- 15.

As per claims 2 and 5 Yamakawa teaches the color correction operation on the pixel is a color matching operation whereby the pixel is modified to match at least a hue of a target color corresponding to for example the three primary color signals of red (R), green (G), blue (B) can be gamma-corrected (corresponding to hue) similarly to the above-mentioned luminance signal (corrections matching with characteristics of the respective signals corresponding to the matching with a hue of the target color) in column 27 lines 55- 65.

As per claims 3 and 6 Yamakawa teaches the corrected pixel is represented by a luminance component (e.g., output of Gamma circuit 5 of fig. 8) and chroma difference components (e.g., column 15 line 13 the output of the two color difference signals as inputs to color gain control circuit 13 in fig. 8), and wherein scaling comprises scaling (e.g., controlling via Vc) the chroma difference components of the corrected pixel (corresponding to for example the output of color gain control circuit 13 of the two color difference signal which is controlled via Vc of gain control circuit 11) in column 14 lines 55- 65.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 3, 3rd paragraph, filed 07/19/2007, with respect to the rejection of claim 1 under 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Art Unit: 2624


Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Rahmjoo whose telephone number is 571-272-7789. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM- 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Bella can be reached on 571-272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mike Rahmjoo

August 7, 2007